EFFECTS OF METRONIDAZOLE AND AMOXICILLIN ON THE PHARMACOKINETICS OF METFORMIN IN TYPE II DIABETIC PATIENTS
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Abstract: Infection is common in diabetes and in the course of treatment metronidazole and amoxicillin may be co-administered with metformin. The study was designed to evaluate the effects of co-administration of metronidazole tablet and amoxicillin capsule on the pharmacokinetics of metformin in type II diabetic patients. Twelve patients with age ranging from 35 – 55 years, weight 50 – 70 kg and height 1.5 – 1.75 m participated in the study. The study was divided into two phases with a washout period of seven days between the phases. In phase one, metformin alone was administered to all the subjects with 150 ml of water after an overnight fasting. In phase two, the subjects were divided into two groups, with six subjects in each group. The first group received a single dose of metformin with metronidazole, while the second group received metformin co-administered with Amoxicillin. Blood samples were collected at 0, 0.5, 1.5, 3.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 h post drug administration and stored at – 4°C before analysis. Plasma was obtained from the blood and the drug was extracted from the plasma using three times its volume of acetonitrile. The samples were analyzed for metformin using a reversed phase. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method on a C18-column (4.6 x 150 mm), mobile phase acetonitrile/potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (21:79) and a ultra violet (UV) detector at 236 nm. When metformin was co-administered with metronidazole, Kt increased to 0.59 ± 0.04 h⁻¹, Cmax to 1.39 ± 0.35 µg/ml; while AUC and t½ increased to 536.71 ± 0.02 µg/h/ml and 6.2 ± 0.02 h, respectively. These increments were found to be significant (p < 0.05). On the other hand, when metformin was co-administered with amoxicillin most of the changes were not significant (p > 0.05).

Keywords: Amoxicillin, diabetes, high performance liquid chromatography, metformin, metronidazole

Introduction
Metformin hydrochloride is an oral biguanidine, which reduces the elevated blood glucose concentration in patients with diabetes but does not increase insulin secretion. It does not lower the blood glucose in nondiabetic subjects (Hermann, 2010). Augmentation of muscular glucose uptake and utilization, and reduction of increased hepatic glucose production through an antigluconeogenic action explain the blood glucose lowering effect (Bailey, 2012; Hermann, 2013). Metformin is safe and not teratogenic (Denno and Saddle, 2009) in many of the species studied. Oral bioavailability of metformin is about 50 – 60% and fecal recovery is about 30%. The rate of absorption was slower than that of elimination, which resulted in a plasma concentration profile of “flip-flop” type for oral metformin (Pentikainen et al., 2010).

The highly polar compound escapes metabolism almost entirely and is eliminated via renal excretion (Tucker et al., 2010; Denno & Saddle, 2009). As shown below, metformin exists in two tautomeric forms in acidic media. Metformin is practically insoluble in most organic solvents (Pentikainen et al., 2010), which renders its extraction from the aqueous complex plasma matrix difficult (Zhang et al., 2002; Zarghi et al., 2003). Many high performance chromatographic (HPLC) methods for the analysis of metformin in plasma are reported. But most of the methods use either ion pair reagent (Cheng, 2001; Zhang et al., 2002; Zarghi et al., 2003) or cation exchange column (Bonfigli, 2013).

Some methods reported require elaborate sample preparation (Zhouping et al., 2001; Vesterquist et al., 2014). Though, these methods are sensitive and reproducible, RP-HPLC method for the estimation of metformin in human plasma are found to be more suitable. Previously described methods suffered from several disadvantage including use of complex extraction procedures which were tedious and time consuming. Ultra-filtration and column-switching techniques have been suggested to improve specificity and selectivity (Vesterquist et al., 1998). The objectives of the study is to determine the influence of metronidazole on the pharmacokinetics of metformin in type II diabetic Patients using high performance liquid chromatographic method.

Materials and Methods
Materials

Methods
Ethical clearance for the study
The ethical clearance for the present study was obtained by the proper representation and discussion of various ethical issues with human ethics committee of Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria with reference number of F-MED/COMM/19.

Pharmacokinetic studies
The criteria for selecting the participants were based on the National Diabetes Data group’s recommendation of 1989 and the selection was done by the practicing clinician, none of participants was below the age of 35 years. The informed consent of the Volunteers was obtained. Twelve patients with age ranging between 35 – 55 years, weight 50 – 70 kg and height 1.5 – 1.75 m participated in the study. The study was...
divided into two phases with a washout period of seven days between the phases. In phase one, metformin alone was administered to all the subjects after an overnight fasting. In phase two, the subjects were divided into two groups, with six subjects in each group. The first group received a single dose of metformin with metronidazole, while the second group received metformin co-administered with amoxicillin. Blood samples were collected at 0, 0.5, 1.5, 3.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 h post drug administration and stored at -4°C before analysis. The samples were analyzed for metformin using a reversed phase HPLC method on a C–8 column (4.6 x 150 nm), mobile phase acetonitrile/potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (21:79) and a UV detector at 236 nm.

**Preparation of standard preparation**

Stock solution of metformin was prepared by dissolving 0.1 g of metformin standard powder in 100 mL of methanol to give 1 mg/mL. Serial dilutions of working concentrations of 300 - 4000 ng/mL were prepared from the stock. Stock solution of internal standard (Sulfadoxine) was also prepared in a similar manner.

**Extraction**

The extraction method used for this method was adopted and modified from Bhavesh et al. (2007). A 100 μL of metformin hydrochloride solution of appropriate concentration and 100 μL of phenformin hydrochloride solution (20 μg/mL1) were added to 900 μL of drug free plasma contained in a clean 5 ml Ria Vial and was properly mixed. To this 50 μL of protein precipitating agent (perchloric acid: acetonitrile 50% v/v each) was added and was vortexed for 30 seconds. After centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min, 700 μL of the supernatant was evaporated to dryness at 45°C under nitrogen. The residue was reconstituted in 100 μL of mobile phase and 20 μL of this was injected to the HPLC system.

**Precision and accuracy**

Precision of the method was determined by selecting 500, 1000 and 4000 ng/mL concentrations from prepared serial dilution were used to determine within-day and day-to-day variations. For within day variation, three concentrations were run 6 times in the morning and afternoon of same day. The same concentrations were run 6 times a day after to get the inter-day variations. The standard deviations of Peak Area Ratio obtained were calculated followed by coefficient of variation in percentage.

**Results and Discussion**

Following the concomitant administration of a single dose of 1 g of metformin with 400 mg metronidazole to Type II diabetic patients, significant increase (p < 0.05) in the absorption rate constant (k0), peak plasma concentration (Cmax) and area under the curve (AUC) of metronidazole were observed. The greater the amount of drug absorbed, the greater the AUC and the greater the bioavailability. A decrease in elimination rate constant k1 (hr-1) from 0.18 ± 0.12 to 0.11 ± 0.02 hr-1 was observed on co-administration of metformin with metronidazole to Type II diabetic patients, with an increase in elimination half-life 3.8 ± 0.07 to 6.2 ± 0.02 hr-1 and decrease clearance from 59013.39 ± 0.41 to 42435.56 ± 0.21 ml/h which were significant (p < 0.05). In a similar study, the influence of 400 mg dose of metronidazole on the pharmacokinetic profile of 250 mg of Chlorpropamide was examined in Type II diabetic patients. Metronidazole significantly reduced the rate, extent and the maximum amount of chlorpropamide absorbed. However, despite the reduction, the hypoglycemic responses were not significantly affected (Garba et al., 1999). In a similar study, ampiclox was administered with chlorpropamide; ampiclox caused significant increase in absorption which also resulted in increase in hypoglycemic effect (Bakare-Odunola et al., 2001). Thus, there could be possibility that both drugs compete for the same renal-tubular secretion. When this happens, there is every tendency that metronidazole known to be rapidly eliminated from the system will be secreted first in preference to chlorpropamide when they are co-administered. They indicated that both drugs may be competing for the same renal tubular secretion.

On the other hand, the changes in pharmacokinetic parameters were not statistically significant when metformin was administered alone and with amoxicillin (Eileen et al., 2007). Despite the fact that, amoxicillin does not affect most of the pharmacokinetics parameters of metformin, there were changes observed, peak plasma concentration decreased from 1.114 ± 0.52 to 1.104 ± 0.04. This could be due to the fact that metformin oral doses effects by opposing drug effects.

**HPLC Conditions**

- **Mobile**: Acetonitrile: 0.01M KH2PO4 21, 79
- **Pressure**: 120-245 psi
- **Column**: Eclipse X - BD C-8 4.6 x 150 mm
- **Flow rate**: 1.50 mL/min.
- **Injection volume**: 20 μL
- **Wave length**: 236 nm
- **pH**: 5.4 (adjusted with phosphoric acid)
- **Column**: ambient temperature

**Chromatogram**

Retention time (min)

Metformin:Sulfadoxine (I.S)

1.06

2.25

**Linearity**

The linearity of the peak area ratios of metformin to sulphadoxine against their corresponding concentrations was found to be in the range of 0.03 – 4.0 μg/mL. The linear regression of equation from the plot is y = 343.94x + 161.11; where y is the peak area ratios, x is the concentration, 343.94 is the slope while 161.11 is the intercept. Coefficient of Variation and a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.983 were computed with a statistical data package SPSS 16.0 and Excel 2007. The results showed good response of the detector at the concentration used.

**Pharmacokinetic parameters calculation**

The pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated from the concentrations derived from the corresponding Peak Height Ratio observed in HPLC machine. Residual method and a software package, PKF Microsoft excel were used to compute the pharmacokinetic parameters as shown in Tables 3 and 4.

| t1/2α(h) | 1.5±0.03 | 1.2±0.05 | S
| Kd(h⁻¹) | 0.46±0.04 | 0.59±0.04 | S
| Cmax (μg/ml) | 1.14±0.52 | 1.38±0.35 | S
| T1/2(min) | 3.0±0.19 | 3.0±0.19 | NS
| AUC0–t (h μg/ml/h) | 4.39±0.71 | 5.36±0.02 | S
| Vd (ml) | 337.852.19 | 313.061.43 | NS
| CL (ml/h) | 59013.39 | 42435.56 | S
| t1/2β(h) | 3.8±0.07 | 6.2±0.02 | S
| Ke(h⁻¹) | 0.18±0.12 | 0.11±0.02 | S

p < 0.05² = Significant(p) p > 0.05 = Not significant (NS)

---

**Table 3: Pharmacokinetic parameters of Metformin alone and co-administered with Metronidazole (Mean ±S.D, N=6)**
Table 4: Pharmacokinetic parameters of Metformin alone and co-administered with amoxicillin (Mean ± S.D. N=6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metformin</th>
<th>Amoxicillin</th>
<th>Paired sample T- test value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K_a (h^-1)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_1/2α (h)</td>
<td>1.5±0.02</td>
<td>0.75±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_d (h^-1)</td>
<td>0.46±0.04</td>
<td>0.19±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_max (mg/ml)</td>
<td>1.11±0.52</td>
<td>1.04±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_max (min)</td>
<td>3.0±0.19</td>
<td>3.0±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_0→t (h µg/ml/h)</td>
<td>4.39±0.71</td>
<td>4.25±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_d (ml)</td>
<td>337852.19</td>
<td>3497352.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (ml/h)</td>
<td>59013.39</td>
<td>62169.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_1/2β (h)</td>
<td>±0.47</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_1/2γ (h)</td>
<td>±0.41</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P < 0.05 = Significant (S)  p > 0.05 = Not significant (NS)

Conclusion
The HPLC method in monitoring of metformin in the plasma was very effective and efficient. The results of the findings indicated pharmacokinetics changes when metformin was administered alone and co-administered with metronidazole and amoxicillin. Potentiation effects on metformin were only observed when coadministered with a single dose of 1 g metformin and 400 mg of metronidazole. The amoxicillin showed insignificant interactions (p > 0.05). These effects were followed with significantly high postprandial glucose level but non-significant higher glucose level at T_max. It is therefore, recommended that metformin can be co-administered with amoxicillin by Type II diabetic patients without risk of side effects. On the other hand, diabetic patients who may require metronidazole with metformin need adjustment of dose regimen to avoid the possible risk of toxicity or therapeutic failure.
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![Fig. 1: Molecular structure of metformin](image1)

![Fig. 2: Tautomeric forms of metformin in acidic media](image2)

Table 1: Intra and Inter-day Assay Variation of Metformin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>C.V %</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intra-day run</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3.4±0.58</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Metformin)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.8±0.89</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Metformin)</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1.2±0.68</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-day run</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4.2±0.34</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Metformin)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.1±0.42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Metformin)</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2.3±0.03</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV = Coefficient of Variation; n = Number of samples

Table 2: Recovery of Metformin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Recovery %± S.D</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>96.52±7.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>98.43±7.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SD = Standard Deviation

**Fig. 3:** Structure of metronidazole

**Fig. 4:** Structure of amoxicillin

**Fig. 5:** Chromatogram of Metformin and Sulfadoxine
**Curve A (Series 1) = Metformin, Curve C (Series 2) = Metformin co-administered with Metronidazole**

Fig. 6: Comparison of plasma concentrations curve (µg/ml) of metformin alone (A) and co-administered with metronidazole (C)

**Curve A (Series 1) = Metformin, Curve D (Series 2) = Metformin co-administered amoxicillin**

Fig. 7: Comparison of plasma concentrations curve (µg/ml) of metformin alone (A) and co-administered with amoxicillin (D)